Mississippi State University

Scholars Junction

Boswell Stevens Papers

Manuscripts Division

2-11-1954

Letters between Boswell Stevens and John C. Stennis, February 11, 1954

John Cornelius Stennis

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarsjunction.msstate.edu/mss-stevens-papers

Recommended Citation

Stevens (Boswell) Papers, Special Collections Department, Mississippi State University

This Letter is brought to you for free and open access by the Manuscripts Division at Scholars Junction. It has been accepted for inclusion in Boswell Stevens Papers by an authorized administrator of Scholars Junction. For more information, please contact scholcomm@msstate.libanswers.com.

February 11, 1954

Hen. John C. Stennis U. S. Senator Washington, D. C.

Door Sanators

Thank you for your letter of February 2nd in regard to permanent cotton legislation.

- I agree with you have to should ensure remnants ordaintian in right to solve. I think once of the numbered means of a the sumbered means of a the last lagislating should be of a persenent nature. Frankly, the last lagislating should be of a persenent nature. Frankly, the first should present individual framers the ones in serving to the county consistee and the soreses should be one should be one thereof as planted. I believe that there should be a likely to this covered as planted. I believe that there should be a likely to this covered, any, but years to plant of the solve of the s
- I think a further associated formula legislation should be that the five-accelurance should be taken ours of from the national allotsent professibly, if not, containly from the state allotsent.
- I think the 65-40-40 provision in the most recent legislation in regard to cotton should be maintained as personent legislation.
- X feel that one of the greatest problems we have in the allocation of cares as that at the present time indicary determines the state's chure of the national allocame, history determines the outside share of the state allocame, but at this point indicary cannot be been allocated in the state allocated in the state of the state o

Hon. John C. Stennis February 11, 1954 Page # 2

I am not positive that under the present elementances, with a lung surplus of cotten, that amendemts usual be monegary not be prevent so drawtis a out in acreage as we have under the procent logicalstion. It is ny feeling that may o there should be a limit to the ensum of reduction, as we are in 1994 then one acreage was reduced from appreciately 16,000,000 to our concept that it was necessary to go be Compress to correct this inequity. I whink it wand take quite a lat of study to determine a percentage Figure of the reduction should not be node below.

I shall be very happy to discuss this in person with you next week when I am in Washington.

Thenking you for your interest and cooperation in this matter, I am

Very sincorely yours,

Bouvell Stevens President

BSsh

CONTROL DATE OF THE STATE OF TH

United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

February 2, 195h

Honorable Boswell Stevens President Mississippi Farm Bureau Federation Jackson, Mississippi

Dear Boswell:

I know you mare with me the feeling that we were fortunate to get such early and favorable action on the Cotton-Acresage Law. However, in order that we may not be faced with the same situation in future years, I propose to begin mow to get a permanent Cotton-Acresage Law enacted. That will sould such situations as the one we faced this year.

In view of this fact, I would appreciate very much your writing me just what additional permanent legislation is needed in order for us to have a sound and workable Cotton-Acreage Law.

Having the benefit of your opinion in this matter would be very helpful to me and I will greatly appreciate your taking the time to give me your opinion in regard to this matter.

Looking forward to hearing from you in the near future, I am

John Stennis