### Mississippi State University

### **Scholars Junction**

**Bulletins** 

Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station (MAFES)

2-1-1985

# Effect of location and diet on performance and profitability of finishing Mississippi beef steers after winter grazing

David H. Laughlin

E. G. Morrison

Richard Conner

William Miles

Wyatte Harman

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarsjunction.msstate.edu/mafes-bulletins

#### **Recommended Citation**

Laughlin, David H.; Morrison, E. G.; Conner, Richard; Miles, William; Harman, Wyatte; Horton, Johnny; Tyner, Fred H.; Davis, James; and Rogers, R. W., "Effect of location and diet on performance and profitability of finishing Mississippi beef steers after winter grazing" (1985). *Bulletins*. 372. https://scholarsjunction.msstate.edu/mafes-bulletins/372

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station (MAFES) at Scholars Junction. It has been accepted for inclusion in Bulletins by an authorized administrator of Scholars Junction. For more information, please contact scholcomm@msstate.libanswers.com.

Authors David H. Laughlin, E. G. Morrison, Richard Conner, William Miles, Wyatte Harman, Johnny Horton, Fred I Tyner, James Davis, and R. W. Rogers	H.



Effect of Location and Diet on Performance and Profitability of Finishing Mississippi Beef Steers After Winter Grazing



MISSISSIPPI AGRICULTURAL & FORESTRY EXPERIMENT STATION R. RODNEY FOIL, DIRECTOR MISSISSIPPI STATE, MS 39762

### Acknowledgments

The author is most grateful to the project contributors whose research results are reported herein along with the author's economic analysis. The author also thanks reviewers H. W. Essig, Jim Collins and Jim Simpson for their helpful suggestions and L. Dow Welch and Walter J. Drapala for their reviews and comments.

### Effect of Location and Diet on Performance and Profitability of Finishing Mississippi Beef Steers After Winter Grazing

David H. Laughlin, Assistant Professor and Assistant Agricultural Economist, Department of Agricultural Economics, Mississippi State University and

**Contributing Scientists** 

E. G. Morrison, Superintendent, MAFES Brown Loam Branch

Richard Conner, formerly Agricultural Economist, MAFES Department of Agricultural Economics Roy Burris, formerly Animal Scientist, MAFES South Mississippi Branch

William Mies, Research Director, Texas Tech University Center at Amarillo

Wyatte Harman, Agricultural Economist, Texas A&M University

Research and Extension Center at Amarillo Johnny Horton, Texas Tech. Research Center, Amarillo Fred H. Tyner, Superintendent, MAFES South Mississippi Branch

James Davis, formerly Animal Scientist, MAFES South Mississippi Branch

R. W. Rogers, Professor and Animal Scientist,
Department of Animal Science,
Mississippi State University



### Content

I	Pag	ge
ist of Tables		iii
ist of Appendix Tables		iv
Appendix A: Feed and Feeding Information		iv
Appendix B: Summary Feeding Budgets		
Appendix C: Costs of Feedlots		iv
escription of Experiment		1
ata		
esults		2
ummary		6
eferences		6
ppendix A: Feed and Feeding Information		7
ppendix B: Summary Feeding Budgets		19
ppendix C: Cost of Feedlots		27



### **List of Tables**

Table		Page
1	Composition of finishing diets fed steers in Mississippi and Texas, as-fed basis, 1980-1982	2
2	Performance data, by diet, for steers finished in Mississippi and Texas,	0
0	three-year averages, 1980-1982	3
3	Carcass data, by diet, for steers finished in Mississippi and Texas, three-year averages, 1980-1982	4
4	Performance, sale prices and carcass values for steers finished in	
	Mississippi and Texas, three-year averages, 1980-1982	4
5	Summary costs/lb. of gain from initial full weight to final shrunk weight for steers finished in Mississippi and Texas, three-year averages,	
	1980-1982	5
6	Summary of income and expenses per head, by diet and location, for steers finished in Mississippi and Texas, three-year averages,	
	1980-1982	5
7	Breakeven sale prices per hundredweight for steers finished in Mississippi and Texas, by diet and location, three-year averages,	
	1980-1982	6

### List of Appendix Tables

### Appendix A: Feed and Feeding Information

Table		Page
1	Prices for feed ingredients, composition of finishing diets and cost per ton for finishing diets for five feeding periods, 1980	9
2	Number of days in feeding period, average daily feed consumption,	_
3	pounds of feed fed and feed cost, by diet and location, 1980  Prices for feed ingredients, composition of finishing diets and cost per	11
J	ton for finishing diets for five feeding periods, 1981	12
4	Number of days in feeding period, average daily feed consumption,	
5	pounds of feed fed and feed cost, by diet and location, 1981  Prices for feed ingredients, composition of finishing diets and cost per	14
	ton for finishing diets for five feeding periods, 1982	15
6	Number of days in feeding period, average daily feed consumption,	17
7	pounds of feed fed and feed cost, by diet and location, 1982  Summary of feed consumption by years, by diet and location, for steers	17
	finished in Mississippi and Texas, 1980-1982	18
	Appendix B: Summary Feeding Budgets	
Table		Page
1	Summary budget (per head) for steers fed a corn finishing diet at the	01
2	MAFES Brown Loam Branch, three-year averages, 1980-1982 Summary budget (per head) for steers fed a corn diet at MAFES South	21
	Mississippi Branch, three-year averages, 1980-1982	22
3	Summary budget (per head) for steers fed a corn diet at Amarillo, Texas, three-year averages, 1980-1982	23
4	Summary budget (per head) for steers fed a milo diet at Amarillo,	20
-	Texas, three-year averages, 1980-1982	24
5	Summary budget (per head) for steers fed a silage diet at the MAFES South Mississippi Branch, three-year averages, 1980-1982	25
	Appendix C: Cost of Feedlots	
Table		Page
1	Construction and ownership costs of a dirt feedlot with concrete slab	00
2	feeding area, 500 head capacity, 1980	29
	feedlot (no silage feeding), 500 head capacity, 1980	30
3	Construction and ownership costs of a slatted-floor confinement feedlot (with silage feeding), 500 head capacity, 1980	31

# Effect of Location and Diet on Performance and Profitability of Finishing Mississippi Beef Steers After Winter Grazing

Finishing cattle to slaughter reight is rarely considered as an Iternative by producers in Missisippi. However, changes in costs nd returns associated with changing feed costs and (or) cattle prices ould make cattle finishing a plausole alternative for Mississippi proucers.

The two generally accepted finishng options that Mississippi proucers can use are (1) build the necessary facilities and finish cattle on a grain or silage ration or (2) send the cattle to a feedlot in the Southwest for custom finishing.

Comparing the costs and returns associated with finishing cattle in Mississippi with those of custom finishing in the Southwest requires data for differences in performance due to environmental effects and diets fed at different locations and the effects of differences in costs of

feed, transportation and other inputs associated with finishing cattle. The purpose of this study was to provide information about the effect of location and diet on the performance of finishing Mississippi steers after winter grazing and to present an economic analysis that relates costs and returns of finishing cattle to location and diet.

### Description of Experiment -

This experiment involved a coperative effort of Mississippi State inversity, Texas A & M University and Texas Tech University. Steers were fed at three locations---two in lississippi and one in Texas.

The two Mississippi locations MAFES Brown Loam Branch at aymond and MAFES South Missisippi Branch at Poplarville) have ignificantly different feedlot facilies and represent different areas ithin the South Mississippi winter razing region. The Brown Loam eeding facility is an open lot with nsheltered concrete feeding bunks long one side. Trees within or djacent to the pens afford some hade. The South Mississippi feedlot acility has a concrete floor and is a ompletely covered facility. Pens re cleaned via a sloped floor-water ush arrangement.

The Texas Tech University Exeriment Station at Amarillo Texas vas chosen to represent the Southvest custom feedlot operation ecause its facilities are similar to nany of the commercial feedlots in the region (open lots with unheltered fence-line feed troughs).

Personnel from the Texas A&M Research and Extension Center helped coordinate the experiment, and personnel at the Texas Tech Research Center managed the steers fed in Texas and collected the feed, weight gain and carcass data.

In May 1980, 1981 and 1982, 120 steers (60 steers from the Brown Loam Branch and 60 from the South Mississippi Branch) were sorted by breed and weight and randomly assigned to one of five treatments. Steers from the Brown Loam Branch were Angus x Hereford crossbred calves that had been purchased in the fall and backgrounded on winter grazing systems. Steers from the South Mississippi Branch were born in late winter, weaned in October and backgrounded on wintergrazing systems. South Mississippi steers were produced from straight Hereford. Hereford x Barzona or Hereford x Beefmaster dams. Sires were Angus (1979); Angus, Brangus or Simmental (1980) and Brangus or Beefmaster (1981).

Steers were weighed individually, identified with ear tags, treated for internal parasites (TBZ paste®) and

implanted with Ralgro® before confinement in the feedlots in Mississippi or shipment to Texas. Steers shipped to Texas were reweighed upon arrival at the feedlot.

A corn-cottonseed hull finishing diet was fed to three groups of steers, one group at each of the two Mississippi locations and one in Texas. A corn-silage finishing diet (considered by researchers to be the most economical Mississippi feedlot diet) was fed to one group of steers at the South Mississippi Branch. A milo-cottonseed hull finishing diet (considered by Texas researchers to be the most economical Texas feedlot diet) was fed to one group of steers at the Texas Tech Experiment Station.

Compositions of the three diets are presented in Table 1. Steers in each of the five groups were fed until they reached an average of about 1,100 pounds unshrunk weight. At the close of each feeding trial the steers were sold on a carcass yield and weight basis to local packers.

### Data -

Average weights of steers entering the feedlots ranged from 749 to 767 pounds. Assigned costs of steers at the beginning of feeding were based on market prices, as established from late May quotations on comparable animals each year. Interest at 15% (approximately the prevailing rate in each of the three years of the experiment) was charged on the initial cost assigned to the animals. and on feed cost. Five treatment groups were fed in each of three years in five "feeding periods" ranging in length from 11 to 36 days. In 1981, steers were fed over only four feeding periods.

The prevailing bulk price of each feed ingredient at each location at the beginning of each feeding period was used to calculate diet cost/lb. The cost/lb times average daily consumption per head times the number of days in the feeding period yielded estimated diet cost per head for a specific lot over a specific feeding period.

Estimates of other costs per head for feeding cattle in Mississippi, as taken from a recent study (1), were utilities and fuel, \$2.31; labor, \$14.07 (4.2 hours @ \$3.35); veterinary and medicine, \$5.43; transportation and marketing, \$7.50; and death loss, 1% of purchase price. Steers fed in Texas were charged transportation, averaging \$31.62 per head; veterinary and medicine, \$3.00; death loss, 2% of purchase price and service and facility cost, \$15.00/ton of feed.

Table 1. Composition of finishing diets fed steers in Mississip, and Texas, as-fed basis, 1980-1982

		Diet	
Item	Corn	Milo	Corn Silage <u>a</u> /
Corn, cracked Milo, steamflaked Corn silage Cottonseed hulls Cottonseed meal Molasses	73.0  15.0 6.0	77.3  10.0 .7 6.0	7.1  87.7  4.6
Supplement Alfalfa, dehy Urea Polyphos Calcium carbonate Salt Ammonium sulfate Vitamin A Trace mineral Sulfur Potassium chloride Rumensin TM Salt Dicalcium phosphate Ground limestone	3.4 .37 .17 .60 .50 .36 .0075 .01 .02 .57	3.61 .37 .17 .60 .50 .36 .0075 .01	<u>b</u> / .2 .1 .3
Calculated Content: Dry matter (%) Crude protein (% DM) DE (Kcal/lb DM)	89.5 12.1 1428	88.4 11.9 1380	45.0 12.0 1440

 $\frac{a}{B}$  Based silage containing 8.02% CP (DM basis).

<u>b</u>/200,000 IU/hd/day.

 $\frac{c}{500}$  grams/ton.

d/200 mg/hd/day.

### Results -

Differences in size and quality of steers entering a feedlot or in the feeding program at that lot over the three-year life of the project were only minor, and results are reported on a three-year average basis.

(Annual data on feeding programs are available in appendices to this report).

Three-year average performance rates for steers at all locations are presented in Table 2. Inspection of average daily gain data---from initial full weight to final shrund weight---reveals significantly higher rates of gain in both Texas feedloof than in either of the two Mississippi feedlots. In Mississippi, steep

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Length of a feeding period was generally about 28 days but varied in the initial or last periods depending on changes in diet composition or animal size and remaining time in the feedlot.

d at the Brown Loam Branch had significantly higher rate of gain an did those fed at the South lississippi Branch. Feed converton ratios did not differ appreciably cept for steers fed the corn silage et at the South Mississippi ranch, which reflected the higher rater content of silage. More detailed data appear in appendix A.

Most of the significant differences carcass characteristics were in arcass weight and dressing perentage, with steers fed at the South lississippi Branch producing signicantly lower dressing percentages an those finished at the Texas tation or the Brown Loam Branch Table 3). There were no significant ifferences among marbling scores r USDA quality grades of steers at the different diets, or at different

locations. Carcass yield grade of animals fed milo (in Texas) was slightly but not significantly better than from any other feeding.

Returns were calculated from cost. performance and sale data. A summary of performance, sale prices and carcass values is presented in Table 4. Feed costs per pound of gain ranged from \$.388 for steers fed corn silage in South Mississippi to \$.621 for steers fed corn there (Table 5). Costs of gain were almost identical for steers fed corn at the Brown Loam Branch and those fed milo in Texas. Steers fed corn in Texas had feed costs per pound of gain that were slightly less than those for steers fed corn at the South Mississippi Branch.

Total cost per pound of gain (Table 5) includes all costs (i.e.,

interest, transportation, facility charges, etc.). The corn-silage diet at the South Mississippi Branch afforded the lowest overall cost per pound of gain (\$.697), and the corn diet at that station was the highest (\$.871).

A summary of income and expenses (Table 6) compiled from three-year average budgets (Appendix B) reveals not only that all trials were not profitable but also that none even recovered direct (operating) expenses. If only direct costs are considered, per head losses were least (\$17.79) in the corn silage feeding trial at the South Mississippi Branch and largest (\$84.43) in the corn-feeding option at that station. When all costs are considered, the two programs at the South Mississippi Branch maintain their

Table 2. Performance data, by diet, for steers finished in Mississippi and Texas, three-year averages, 1980-1982

				Diet and Locatio	n	
Item	Unit	Brown Loam	Corn South MS	Texas	Milo Texas	Corn Silage South MS
Initial full wt.	Lb	767.8 <mark>a</mark> /	753.0 <sup>b</sup> /	762.6ª/	767.5 <u>a</u> /	749.7 <u>b</u> /
Initial shrink	Lb	40.5	37.6	73.4	76.0	35.7
Final shrunk wt.	Lb	$1081.7^{a/}$	1055.1 <u>b</u> /	1084.6 <sup>a</sup> /	$1089.4^{a/}$	1062.0 <u>b</u> /
Final shrink	Lb	44.5	30.5	41.9	36.1	32.1
Gain	Lb	313.9	302.1	322.0	323.9	312.3
Feeding period	Days	123	132	116	116	139
Feed consumption per head	Lb	2906.2	3034.8	2895.9	2944.3	6845.6
Average daily gain (Initial full wt. to final shrunk wt		2.55 <u>b</u> /	2.31 <u>c</u> /	2.78 <del>a</del> /	2.78 <del>a</del> /	2.25 <sup><u>C</u>/</sup>
Feed conversion (lb. feed/Lb gain)	Ratio	9.26	10.04	8.99	9.09	21.92

Any two means on same line which do not share a letter in common differ significantly at the 5% level of probability as judged by Fisher's protected LSD.

Table 3. Carcass data, by diet, for steers finished in Mississippi and Texas, three-year averages, 1980-1982

				Diet and Location		
Item	Unit	Brown Loam	Corn South MS	Texas	Milo Texas	Corn Silage South
Carcass wt.	Lb.	656.5 <sup>e</sup>	644.8 <sup>f</sup>	674.3 <sup>d</sup>	669.0 <sup>d</sup>	638.1 <sup>1</sup>
Dressing	%	61.2 <sup>dg</sup>	60.3 <sup>e</sup>	62.0 <sup>df</sup>	61.4 <sup>d</sup>	60.1 <sup>€</sup>
Fat thickness	$In.\frac{1}{}$	.56 <sup>d</sup>	.51 <sup>ef</sup>	.47 <sup>f</sup>	.41 <sup>9</sup>	.55
KHP Fat	%	2.5 <sup>d</sup>	2.2 <sup>e</sup>	2.0 <sup>f</sup>	1.8 <sup>f</sup>	2.4
Loin eye area	Sq. In.	11.76 <sup>eg</sup>	11.39 <sup>fg</sup>	11.79 <sup>e</sup>	12.24 <sup>d</sup>	11.3
Yield grade		3.1 <sup>d</sup>	3.0 <sup>d</sup>	3.0 <sup>d</sup>	2.7 <sup>e</sup>	3.1
Marble score	<u>2</u> /	6.7 <sup>d</sup>	6.6 <sup>d</sup>	6.3 <sup>d</sup>	6.4 <sup>d</sup>	6.7
USDA quality grade	<u>3</u> /	11.2 <sup>d</sup>	11.0 <sup>d</sup>	11.0 <sup>d</sup>	11.1 <sup>d</sup>	11.2

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{1}{2}$  Measured at 12th rib.

Table 4. Performance, sale prices and carcass values for steers finished in Mississippi and Texas, three-year averages, 1980-1982

				Diet and Location	on .	Coun
			Corn		Milo	Corn Silage
Item	Unit	Brown Loam	South MS	Texas	Texas	South M
Initial full wt.	Lb	767.8	753.0	762.6	767.5	749.7
Final shrunk wt.	Lb	1081.7	1055.1	1084.6	1089.6	1060.0
Gain	Lb	313.9	302.1	322.0	322.1	310.3
Live wt. sale Price (calculated)	Dol/Cwt	62.00	60.60	62.00	61.40	59.70
Carcass sale price (actual)	Do1/Cwt	98.42	99.22	99.77	100.06	99.78
Carcass value	Dol	670.65	639.39	672.45	669.01	633.82

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{2}{6}$  = slight; 7 = small; etc.

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{3}{11}$  = high good; 12 = low choice, etc.

defg Any two means on the same line which do not share a letter in common differ significantly at the 5% level of probability as judged by Fisher's protected LSD.

Table 5. Summary costs/lb of gain from initial full weight to final shrunk weight for steers finished in Mississippi and Texas, three-year averages, 1980-1982

			Die	t and Location		
Item	Unit	Brown Loam	Corn South MS	Texas	Milo Texas	Corn <u>Silage</u> South MS
Feed cost/head	Dol	174.82	187.64	191.88 1/	177.72 1/	120.42
Feed cost/lb gain	Dol	.557	.621	.596	.552	.388
Other direct cost/lb Gain	Dol	.198	.205	.235	.234	.189
Facility cost/lb gain	Dol	.075	.109	0	0	.120
Total cost/lb gain	Dol	.830	.871	.856	.830	.697

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{1}{I}$ Includes a \$15/ton management charge.

Table 6. Summary of income and expenses per head, by diet and location, for steers finished Mississippi and Texas, three-year averages, 1980-1982

			Diet and Locatio	n	
	Brown Loam	Corn South MS	Texas	Milo Texas	Corn <u>Silage</u> South MS
Income	670.65	639.39	672.45	669.01	633.82
Direct expenses	720.67	723.82	744.57	736.33	651.61
Net returns over direct expenses	-50.02	-84.43	-72.12	-67.32	-17.79
Facility	23.51	32.80	<u>1</u> /	<u>1</u> /	37.31
Net returns over all expenses	<b>-</b> 73 <b>.</b> 53	-117.23	-72.12	<b>-67.32</b>	-55.10

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{1}{I}$ Includes in a \$15 per ton of feed charge for "service and facility costs" and listed among other direct expenses.

relative loss positions among all rials---least (\$55.10) in the silage-reding trial and largest (\$117.23) in the corn-feeding program.

Breakeven prices; i.e., prices at which steers finished at specified costs must sell if finishers are to recover all costs, were lowest \$64.99/cwt) for steers fed corn silage at the South Mississippi

Branch, highest (\$71.71/cwt) for those fed corn at that station (Table 7). These breakeven prices are based on the established purchase prices and other costs as listed in the budget tables (Appendix B).

Appendix C contains an estimate of the construction and ownership costs of a dirt feedlot similar to the Brown Loam Branch facility and a slatted floor facility that should produce results similar to those at the South Mississippi Branch facility. These tables are included to indicate the general complexity of the feedlot equipment involved and the likely magnitude of investment should commercial feeding be under-taken in Mississippi.

Table 7. Breakeven sale prices per hundredweight for steers finished in Mississippi and Texas, by diet and location, three-year averages, 1980-1982

			Diet and Locati	on	
:Item	Brown Loam	Corn South MS	Texas	<u>Milo</u> Texas	Corn Silage South MS
			dol/cwt		
Calculated live weight sale price	62.00	60.60	62.00	61.40	59.70
Breakeven sale price <u>a</u> / above direct expenses	66.62	68.60	68.64	67.58	61.47
Breakeven sale price <u>a/</u> above all expenses	68.79	71.71	68.64	67.58	64.99

a/Purchase price of feeder steers averaged \$63/cwt. Sensitivity analysis indicates that each \$1/cwt increase (decrease) in feeder purchase price adds (subtracts) \$.75/cwt in breakeven sale price.

### Summary -

The objective of this study was to investigate differences between finishing wintergrazed steers in Mississippi and the High Plains of Texas. Three locations were involved in the study, two in Mississippi and one in Texas. The three diets fed were a corn-based diet at each location, a milo-based diet in Texas and a corn silage diet at one Mississippi location. Three feeding trials were conducted at each location in 1980, 1981, and 1982.

Steers fed in Texas gained slightly faster than those fed in Mississippi, as evidenced by average daily gain. Characteristics that determine USDA quality grades and yield grades showed little or no difference among carcasses of steers fed in Texas or Mississippi.

Economic comparison of the five groups indicated substantially lower costs per pound of gain for the cattle fed corn silage in Mississippi than for those in the other trials. Substantial negative net returns above all costs for the threeyear period were shown for all five groups. Steers fed corn silage in South Mississippi had the lowest negative net return above all costs with \$-55.10. The two groups of steers fed in Texas followed with net returns of \$-67.32 and \$-72.12. Steers fed corn at the Brown Loam Branch showed net returns of \$73.53 and those fed corn at the South Mississippi Branch had a net return of \$-117.23.

None of the net returns appear appealing to potential cattle feeders Of course, these returns are based on a particular set of steer prices: feed costs and prices of other inputs. As these prices and costs change. the net returns necessarily will change. Hence, new estimates of net returns must be made in every situation, and the information herein should provide a general guide to the elements that must be considered ed in making those estimates. Price levels of finished steers were not sufficiently high to offset the costs encountered during the three-month feeding periods in each of the three years of this study.

### Reference

Tyner, Fred H. and Thomas D. Scroggins, "Investment, Operating Costs and Estimated Returns for 500 and 1000 Head Beef Cattle Feedlots, Mississippi, 1979." Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station Bulletin 888, February 1981.

# Appendix A: Feed and Feeding Information



Appendix A, Table 1. Prices for feed ingredients, composition of finishing diets and cost per ton for finishing diets for five feeding periods, 1980

		May	May 28		Į,	July 1		Luc	July 30		Aug	August 27		00.0	October 1	
Ingredients	,	S. M. S. Exp. Sta.	B. L. Exp. Sta.	ř	S. M. Exp. Sta.	B. L. Exp. Sta.	×	S. M. Exp. Sta.	B. L. Exp. Sta. Ingredie	TX ent Pr	.St	B. L. Exp. Sta.	¥	S. M. Exp. Sta.	B. L. Exp. Sta.	Ĭ.
Corn		106.07	106.07	104.00	111.61	111.61	113.28	125.71	125.71	dol/ton	131.78	131.78	131.78 126.14 126.16	126.16	126.16	127.93
Cottonseed Hulls	13	40	40	40.40	40	40	41.00	40	40	47	40	40	51	40	40	51
Cottonseed Meal	[2	121.25	125	145	142.50	150.00	147	169.25	180.00	177	205.00	205.00	195	202.50	205.00	195
Supplement		144	144	144	144	144	144	144	144	144	144	144	144	144	144	144
Milo				95.80			102.61			113.80			116.20			120.80
Molasses				115			115			115			115			115
Corn Silage		18			18			18			18			18		
CORN Pe FINISHING	Percent of							Cost	Cost of Diet							
·	Ration							(do]/ton)	o]/ton)							
Corn	73	77.43	77.43	75.92	81.48	81.48	82.69	91.77	91.77	89.99	96.20	96.20	92.08	92.10	92.10	93,39
Cottonseed Hulls	15	00°9	00°9	90.9	00°9	00.9	6.15	00.9	00.9	7.05	00.9	00.9	7.65	00.9	00.9	7.65
Cottonseed Meal	9	7.28	7.50	8.70	8.55	9.00	8.82	10.16	10.80	10.62	12.30	12.30	11.70	12.15	12.30	11.70
Supplement	9	8.64	8.64	8.64	8.64	8.64	8.64	8.64	8.64	8.64	8.64	8.64	8.64	8.64	8.64	8.64
Total + \$15 yardage feed Total for Texas	age fee exas	99°35	99.57	99.32 15 114.31	104.67	105.12	106.30 15 121.30	116.57	117.21	116.30 15 131.30	123.14	123.14	120.07 15 135.07	118.89	118.74	121.38 15 136.38
	In														(continued)	(pa

Appendix A, Table 1.	e 1. (Continued)	(p			
Ingredients	S. M. B Exp. Sta. E	May 28  B. L.  S. M.  B. L.  S. M.  B. L.  A.  Exp. Sta. Exp. Sta.	TX.	August 27 S. M. B. L. TX Exp. Sta. Exp. Sta.	S. M. B. L. TX Exp. Sta. Exp. Sta. TX
CORN SILAGE Percent FINISHING of DIET Ration	ent		(dol/ton)		
Corn 7.1	.1 7.53	7.92	8.93	9.36	8,96
Cottonseed 4.6	.6 5.58	6.56	7.78	9.43	9.32
Corn Silage 87.7	7 15.79	15.79	15.79	15.79	15.79
TM Salt (\$20/ton)	.2 .24	.24	.24	.24	.24
Dicalcium Phosphate (\$340/ton) .1	ite .1 .34	.34	.34	.34	.34
Ground Limestone (\$60/ton).	.3	.18	*18	*18	•18
Total	29.66	31.03	33,26	35,34	34.83
MILO Percent FINISHING of DIET Ration	ent on				
Milo 71.4	4	68.40	73.26	81.25	82.97 86.25
Cottonseed Hulls 15		90°9	6.15	7.05	7.65
Cottonseed 6		8,70	8.82	,	11.70
Molasses 1.6	9	1.84	1.84	1.84	1.84 1.84
Supplement 6		8.64	8.64	8.64	8.64 8.64
Total + \$15 yardage fee Total for Texas	fee	93.64 $15$ $108.64$	98.71 15 113.71	109.40 1 15 124.40 1	$\begin{array}{ccc} 112.80 & 116.08 \\ 15 & 15 \\ 127.80 & 131.08 \end{array}$
a/ MAFES South Mississippi Branch	ssissippi Branc	45			

10

b/ MAFES Brown Loam Branch

Total Cost 35.13 36.38 62.92 30.11 16.46 Number of days in feeding period, average daily feed consumption, pounds of feed fed and feed cost, by diet and location, do]/head 18.62 23.22 25.08 27.89 30.40 125.21 + 7.43 134.18 29.72 33.21 40.98 47.20 17.83 39.32 43.60 59.25 29.48 16.95 36.96 44.21 33.83 43.86 16.95 175.81 Vitamin ADE Rumensin do]/ton 99.35 104.67 116.57 123.14 118.89 99.57 105.12 117.21 123.14 118.74 114.32 121.30 131.30 135.07 29.66 31.03 33.26 35.34 34.83 108.64 113.71 124.40 127.80 131.08 Feed Cost Pounds of Feed 1255.52 1496.32 1508.08 1578.36 1745.70 598.36 634.48 703.08 766.64 300.00 742.40 841.16 577.30 712.32 285.56 614.6 599.76 958.32 445.8 241.41 723.80 766.92 952.56 461.40 258.57 spunod Average Daily Feed Consumption pounds/head/day 21.37 22.66 25.11 27.38 25.00 25.60 24.74 25.10 25.44 25.96 21.95 21.42 26.62 22.92 18.57 44.94 53.44 53.86 56.37 52.90 25.85 27.39 26.46 23.07 19.89 Number of Days in Feeding Period days 28 36 20 13 338888 238 238 13 13 128888 23 23 28 11 MAFES South Mississippi Branch MAFES South Mississippi Branch MAFES Brown Loam Amarillo, Texas Amarillo, Texas Location Branch Appendix A. Table 2. 1980 Corn Silage Diet Corn Diet Milo Diet Corn Diet Corn Diet Diet

Appendix A, Table 3. Prices for feed ingredients, composition of finishing diets and cost per ton for finishing diets for five feeding periods, 1981

Ingredients	S. M. J. Ma Exp. Sta.	May 29 <u>b/</u> B. L. <u>b/</u> a. Exp. Sta.	\\	July 2 S. M. B. L. Exp. Sta. Exp. Sta.	July 2 B. L. Exp. Sta	<u> </u>	S. M. B. L. Exp. Sta. Exp. Sta.	July 31 B. L. 1. Exp. Sta.	Ι×	S. M. Exp. Sta.	August 28 B. L. a. Exp. Sta.	×	September 25 S. M. B. L. Exp. Sta. Exp. Sta	September 25 B. L. Sta. Exp. Sta.	Ĭ.
Corn	140.07	140.07	140.07 130.07 12	129.71	129.71	128.28	135.45	135.45 129.54	129.54	119.36	119,36	112.04	114.00	114.00	
Cottonseed Hulls	151.00	151.00	151.00 113.50 102.00	102.00	102.00	102.00 108.50	118.00	118.00 103.50 121.00	103.50	121.00	121.00	98.50	113.00	113.00	1
Cottonseed Meal	200.75	209.75	209.75 196.00 201.00	201.00	201.00	186,00	202.00	202.00 183.50 196.00	183.50	196.00	196.00	196.00 166.00 181.00	181.00	181.00	1
Supplement	197,58	197.58	197.58 189.80 197.58	197.58	197.58	189.80	197.58	197.58 189.80 197.58	189.80	197.58	197.58	189.80	197.58	197.58	;
Milo			110.00			115.70			114.80			97.30			;
Molasses			112.18			112.18			96.13			96.13			1
Corn Silage	18.00			18,00			18.00			18.00			18.00		
CORN Percent FINISHING of DIET Ration	ent						300	cost (dol)	1 1 1 1 1			0 0 1 1 0			
Corn 73	102.25	102.25	102.25 94.95	94.68	94.68	93.64	98.88	98.88	94.55	87.13	87.13	81.79	83.22	83.22	;
Cottonseed Hulls 15	22.65	22.65	17.02	15.30	15,30	16.27	17,70	17.70	15.52	18.15	18,15	14.78	16.95	16.95	;
Cottonseed 6	12.04	12.04	11.76	12.06	12.06	11.16	12.12	12.12	11.81	11.76	11.76	96.6	10.86	10.86	;
Supplement 6	11.85	11.85	11.39	11.85	11.85	11.39	11.85	11.85	11.39	11.85	11.85	11.39	11.85	11.85	:
Total + \$15 yardage feed Total for Texas	148.79 feed as	148.79	135.12 15.00 150.12	137.89	137.89	132.46 15.00 147.46	140.55	140.55	132.48 15.00 147.48	128.89	128.89	$\begin{array}{c} 117.92 \\ 15.00 \\ \hline 132.92 \end{array}$	122.88	122.88	: : :
														(ontinued)	(F

Appendix A, Table 3. (Continued)

Ingredients		May 29 S. M. B. L. Exp. Sta. Exp. Sta. TX	S. M. B. L. Exp. Sta. TX	July 31 S. M. B. L. Exp. Sta. Exp. Sta. TX	S. M. B. L. Exp. Sta. TX	September 25 S. M. B. L. Exp. Sta. Exp. Sta. TX
CORN SILAGE Per FINISHING C DIET Rat	Percent of Ration			(dol)		
Corn 7	7.1	9.94	9.21	9.62	8.47	8.09
Cottonseed Meal	4.6	9.23	9.25	9.29	9.02	8,32
Corn Silage 87	87.7	15.78	15,78	15.78	15,78	15.78
TM Salt (\$31/ton)	2.	90°	90°	90°	90°	90°
Dicalcium Phosphate (\$305/ton)	phate .1	.30	.30	.30	.30	.30
Ground Limestone (\$47/ton)	ne • 3	<u>.14</u>	.14	.14	*14	.14
Total		35.45	34.74	35.19	33.77	32.69
MILO Per FINISHING C DIET RAT	Percent of Ration					
Milo 77	77.3	85.03	3 89.43	88.74	75.21	;
Cottonseed 10	10	11.35	10.85	10,35	58°6	;
Cottonseed Meal	.7	1.37	7 1.30	1.28	1.16	;
Molasses 6	0.9	6.73	3 · 6.73	5.77	5.77	;
Supplement 6	0.9	11.34	11.38	11.38	11.38	
Total + \$15 yardage feed Total for Texas	ge fee	115.86 15.00 130.86	119.69 15.00 134.69	117,52 15,00 132,52	$\frac{103.37}{15.00}$ $\frac{15.00}{118.37}$	
a/ MAFES South Mississippi Branch	Missi	ssippi Branch				

13

b/ MAFES Brown Loam Branch

Total Cost 47.56 43.58 48.87 5.07 145.08 20.97 22.46 23.89 24.04 11.12 102.48 + 7.43 109.91 50.31 46.60 46.11 39.61 15.36 52.85 49.77 52.33 23.55 178.45 46.07 40.94 48.14 19.59 154.74 Number of days in feeding period, average daily feed consumption, pounds of feed fed and feed cost, by diet and location, do]/head Rumensin Vitamin ADE 35.45 34.74 35.19 33.77 32.69 148.79 137.89 140.55 128.89 148.79 137.89 140.55 128.89 150.12 147.46 147.48 132.92 130.86 134.69 132.52 118.37 do]/ton Feed Pounds of Feed 1183.38 1293.38 1358.13 1423.75 680.38 676.25 603.38 656.25 614.63 250.00 659.37 632.2 695.4 78.75 704.17 675.00 709.67 354.35 704.17 607.92 726.62 331.00 spunod Average Daily Feed Consumption pounds/head/day 24.15 21.55 23.44 21.95 19.23 21.27 22.58 23.18 26.25 21.33 25.96 25.35 25.31 42.27 46.19 48.51 50.85 52.33 21.33 23.37 25.95 23.64 Number of Days in Feeding Period days 28 28 28 13 31 28 30 30 33 26 28 14 28888 33 26 28 14 MAFES South Mississippi Branch MAFES South Mississippi MAFES Brown Loam Branch Amarillo, Texas Amarillo, Texas Location Branch Appendix A, Table 4. 1981 Diet Corn Corn Corn Corn Milo

Appendix A, Table 5. Prices for feed ingredients, composition of finishing diets and cost per ton for finishing diets for five feeding periods, 1982

			June 2			June 30			July 28		- 1	August 25		Septe	September 22	
Ingredients		S. M.=/ Exp. Sta.	B. L. <u>2</u> / Exp. Sta.	ΧL	S. M. Exp. Sta.	B. L. Exp. Sta.	ĭ	S. M. Exp. Sta.	B. L. Exp. Sta.	×	S. M. Exp. Sta.	B. L. Exp. Sta.	Ϋ́	S∉ M. Exp. Sta.	B. L. Exp. Sta.	χL
Corn		125.35	140.07	140.07 119.64 11	119.64	119.64 120.35	120.35	115.00	dol/ton 115.00 117.67	117.67	111.43	111.43 106.42	106.42	104.29	104.29	100.18
Cottonseed Hulls	11s	70.00	70.00	70.00 19.00 7	70.00	70.00	31.00	70.00	70.00	33.60	70.00	20.00	31.40	70.00	70.00	33.60
Cottonseed Meal	al	157.50	157.50	157.50 133.40 17	175.00	175.00 146.00	146.00	182.50	182.50	157.00	180.00	180.00 146.00	146.00	175.00	175.00	158.60
Supplement		181.20	181.20	181.20 158.20 181.20	181.20	181.20	158.20	181.20	181.20	158.20	181.20	181.20	158.20	181.20	181.20	158.20
Milo				106.20			105.50			103.90			94.20			91.00
Molasses				78.20			78.20			76.20			76.20			76.20
Corn Silage		18.00			18.00			18.00			18.00			18.00		
CORN Pe FINISHING DIET RA	Percent of Ration		0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1			0	cost (dol)							
Corn	73	91.62	91.62	87.34	87.34	87.34	87.88	83.95	83.95	85.90	81.34	81.34	77.69	76.13	74.67	73.58
Cottonseed Hulls	15	10.50	10.50	2.85	10.50	10.50	4.65	10.50	10.50	5.04	10.50	10.50	4.71	10.50	10.50	5.04
Cottonseed Meal	9	9.45	9.45	8.02	.10.50	10.50	8.76	10.95	10.95	9.45	10.80	10.80	8.76	10.50	10.50	9.52
Supplement	9	10.87		9.49	10.87	·	9.49	10.89	·	9.49	10.87	,	9.49	10.87	10.98	9.49
Total + \$15 yardage feed Total for Texas	age fee Texas	122.44	122,44 107,70 15,00 122,70	107.70 15.00 122.70	119.21	119.21	110.78 15.00 125.78	116.27	116.27	109.85 15.00 124.85	112.71	112.71	$\frac{100.65}{15.00}$ $\frac{115.65}{115.65}$	108.00	108.00	97.63 15.00 112.63
1															(ontinued)	(pan

Appendix A, Table 5.	(Continued)				
Ingredients	May 29 S. M. B. L. Exp. Sta. Exp. Sta. TX	July 2 S. M. B. L. Exp. Sta. Exp. Sta. TX	July 31 S. M. B. L. Exp. Sta. Exp. Sta.	August 28 S. M. B. L. TX Exp. Sta. T	September 25  S. M. B. L.  TX Exp. Sta. Exp. Sta. TX
CORN SILAGE Percent FINISHING of DIET Ration			(dol)		
Corn 7.1	8.90	8.49	8.17	7.91	7.40
Cottonseed 4.6	7.25	8.05	8.39	8.28	8,05
Corn Silage 87.7	15.78	15.78	15.78	15.78	15.78
TM Salt (\$40/ton) .2	.08	80°	80.	.08	80*
Dicalcium Phosphate (\$418/ton)	.42	.42	.42	.42	.42
Ground Limestone (\$89.60/ton) .3	.27	.27	.27	.27	.21
Rumensin + Vitade	20.	<u>70°</u>	20°	<u>.07</u>	<u>.07</u>
Total	32,77	33.16	33,18	32,81	32.01
MILO Percent FINISHING of DIET Ration					
Milo 77.3	82.09		81.55	80.31 7.	72.82 70.34
Cottonseed Hulls 10	1.90		3.1	3,36	3.14 3.36
Cottonseed .7	5,	.94	1.02	1.10	1.02
Molasses 6.0	4.96		4.96	4.96	4.96 4.96
Supplement 6.0	9.49	ı	9.49	9.49	9.49
Total + \$15 yardage feed Total for Texas	99.38 15.00 114.38	38 100.12 10 15.00 38 115.12		99.22 91. 15.00 11. 114.22 106	91.43 89.26 15.00 15.00 106.43 104.26
a/ MAFES South Mississippi Branch	sippi Branch				

b/ MAFES Brown Loam Branch

Appendix A, Table 6. Number of days in feeding period, average daily feed consumption, pounds of feed fed and feed cost, by diet and location, 1982

Diet	Num Location in Fe	Number of Days Feeding Period F	Average Daily Feed Consumption	Pounds of Feed Fed	Feed	Total Cost
Corn	MAFES South Mississippi Branch	days 28 29 27 28 34	pounds/head/day 18.22 22.51 25.63 25.15 24.48	pounds 510.1 652.8 692.0 704.2 832.3	dol/ton 122.44 119.21 116.27 112.71 108.00	dol/head 31.23 38.91 40.23 39.69 44.93
Corn	MAFES Brown Loam Branch	28 28 28 14	26.65 27.86 28.17 28.18 27.85	746.2 780.1 788.8 789.0 390.0	122.44 119.21 116.27 112.71 108.00	45.68 46.50 45.86 44.40 21.06
Corn	Amarillo, Texas	28 28 28 28 12	23.42 29.17 27.39 29.10 27.50	655.8 816.7 767.1 814.9 330.0	122.70 <u>1</u> / 125.78 124.85 115.65 112.63	40.23 51.36 47.89 47.12 18.58 205.18
Corn	MAFES South Mississippi Branch	28 27 28 34	42.06 52.36 53.17 49.43 47.00	1177.7 1518.4 1435.6 1384.0 1598.0	35.77 33.16 33.18 32.81 32.01	19.89 25.18 23.82 22.70 25.58 117.17
Milo	Amarillo, Texas	28 28 28 28 12	24.34 29.12 27.51 29.34 25.9	681.7 815.4 776.4 821.6 310.8	114.38 <u>1/</u> 115.12 114.22 106.43	38.99 46.93 43.72 16.20 189.83

1/Includes \$15/ton for management services.

Appendix A, Table 7. Summary of feed consumption by years, by diet and location, for steers finished in Mississippi and Texas, 1980-1982 Silage South MS 7584.0 7113.7 5839.0 6845.6 20536.7 3399.9 8832.9 2369.7 2944.3 Texas 30633 Diet and Location 3384.5 9.7898 2859.9 2443.2 2895.9 Texas South MS 2800.5 3301.4 9104.5 3002.6 3034.8 Corn Brown Loam 8718.5 3494.1 3158.7 2906.2 2065.7 Average Total Year 1980 1982 1981

# Appendix B: Summary Feeding Budgets



Appendix B, Table 1. Summary budget (per head) for steers fed a corn finishing diet at the MAFES Brown Loam Branch, three-year averages, 1980-1982

Item	Unit	No.	Price	Total
Income:			- /	
Steer Sale	<b>1</b> b	1,081.7	.62 <u>a</u> /	670.65
Direct Expenses: Feeder Purchase Interest on Feeder Feed Interest on Feed Utilities & Fuel Labor Vet and Medicine Transportation and	lb dol ton dol head hour head head	768 482.76 1.45 174.82 1 4.2 1	.63 .05 <u>b</u> / 122.63 .0125 <u>c</u> / 2.31 <u>d</u> / 3.45 <u>e</u> / 7.50	483.84 24.14 174.82 7.00 2.31 14.49 1.75 7.50
Marketing Death Loss (1% of purchase)	dol	482.76	.01	4.82
Total Direct Expenses				720.67
Net Returns Over Direc	t Expen	ses		-50.02
Facility and Equipment	head	1	23.51 <u>f</u> /	23.51
Net Returns Over All Expenses	S			-73.53

a/Steers were actually sold on a yield and grade basis.

b/Reflects a 15% interest rate for 4 months of use.

 $<sup>\</sup>underline{c}$ /Reflects a 15% interest rate on the feed cost. The interest was charged at the end of each feeding period for the accumulated feed and interest charges.

 $<sup>\</sup>underline{d}/Reflects$  data from [1]. The \$3.35 includes minimum wage, social security, etc.

 $<sup>\</sup>underline{e}$ /Assumes worming (\$1.00) and implant (\$.75) at time steers are placed in the feedlot.

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>f</u>/Approximated from an unpublished report by Laughlin, Ag. Economics Dept., MSU, 1981. Assuming 2 turns/yr (1000 head). See Appendix B, Table 1.

Appendix B, Table 2. Summary budget (per head) for steers fed a corn diet at the MAFES South Mississippi Branch, three-year averages, 1980-1982

Item	Unit	No.	Price	Total
Income: Steer Sale	1b	1,055.1	.606 <u>c</u> /	639.39
Direct Expenses: Feeder Purchase Interest on Feeder Feed Interest on Feed Utilities & Fuel Labor Vet and Medicine Transportation and Marketing Death Loss (1% of	lb dol ton dol head hour head head head	753 472.50 1.52 187.64 1 4.2 1 1	.63 .05 <u>b</u> / 124.24 .0125 <u>c</u> / 2.31 <u>d</u> / 3.45 <u>e</u> / 7.50	474.39 23.62 187.64 7.39 2.31 14.49 1.75 7.50
purchase) Total Expenses				723.82
Net Returns Over Direc	t Expense	es		-84.43
Facility and Equipment	head	1	32.80 <u>f</u> /	32.80
Net Returns Over All Expense	S			-117.23

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>a/Steers</u> were actually sold on a yield and grade basis, with price converted to liveweight basis.

b/Reflects 15% interest charged for 4 months of use.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm C}/{\rm Reflects}$  15% interest charged on feed cost. Interest was charged at the beginning of each feeding period on accumulated feed and interest charges.

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{d}{Reflects}$  data from [1]. The \$3.35 includes minimum wage, social security, etc.

 $<sup>\</sup>underline{e}/\text{Assumes worming}$  (\$1.00) and implant (\$.75) at time steers are placed in the feedlot.

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>f</u>/Approximated from an unpublished report by Laughlin, Ag. Economics Dept., MSU, 1981. Assuming two turns/yr (1000 head). See Appendix B, Table 2.

Appendix B, Table 3. Summary budget (per head) for steers fed a corn diet at Amarillo, Texas, three-year averages, 1980-1982

Item	Unit	No.	Price	Total
Income:				
Steer Sale	1b	1,084.6	.62 <u>a</u> /	672.45
Direct Expenses:				
Feeder Purchase	1 b	763	.63	480.69
Interest on Feeder	dol	480.00	.05 <u>b</u> /	24.00
Feed & Management	ton	1.43	131.41 <u>c</u> /	188.21
Interest on Feed	dol	188.21	.0125 <u>d</u> /	7.46
Vet and Medicine	head	1	3.00	3.00
Transportation	head	1	31.61	31.61
Death Loss (2% of feeder purchase)	dol	780.06	.02	9.60
Total Expenses				744.57
Net Returns Over All Expens	es			<b>-</b> 72 <b>.</b> 12

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>a/</u>Steers were actually sold on a yield and grade basis, with price converted to liveweight basis.

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{b}{Reflects}$  15% interest charged for 4 months of use.

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{c}{Reflects}$  \$15 ton for feeding services.

d/Reflects a 15% interest rate on the feed cost. The interest was charged at the end of each feeding period for the accumulated feed and interest charges.

Appendix B, Table 4. Summary budget (per head) for steers fed a milo diet at Amarillo, Texas, three-year averages, 1980-1982

Item	Unit	No.	Price	Total
Income:				
Steer Sale	1b	1,089.5	.614 $\frac{a}{}$	669.01
Direct Expenses:				
Feeder Purchase	1b	767	.63	483.21
Interest on Feeder	dol	482.14	.05 <u>b</u> /	24.10
Feed & Management	ton	1.49	120.68 <u>c</u> /	177.72
Interest on Feed	dol	177.72	.0125 <u>d</u> /	7.05
Vet and Medicine	head	1	3.00	3.00
Transportation	head	1	31.61	31.61
Death Loss (2% of feeder purchase)	dol.	482.14	.02	9.64
Total Expenses				736.33
Net Returns Over All Exper	ises			-67.32

 $<sup>\</sup>underline{a}$ /Steers were actually sold on a yield and grade basis, with price converted to liveweight basis.

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{b}{R}$  Reflects 15% interest charged for 4 months of use.

c/Includes \$15/ton for feeding services.

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{\text{d}}{\text{Reflects}}$  15% interest on the feed cost. The interest was charged at the end of each feeding period for the accumulated feed and interest charges.

Appendix B, Table 5. Summary budget (per head) for steers fed a silage diet at the MAFES South Mississippi Branch, three-year averages, 1980-1982

Item	Jnit	No.	Price	Total
Income: Steer Sale	1b	1,060.0	.597 <u>a</u> /	633.82
Direct Expenses: Feeder Purchase Interest on Feeder Feed Interest on feed Utilities & Fuel Labor Vet and Medicine Transportation and Marketing Death Loss (1% of purchase)  Total Expenses	lb dol ton dol head hour head head dol.	750 472.50 3.44 120.42 1 4.2 1 1	.63 .05 <u>b</u> / 35.15 .0125 <u>b</u> / 2.31 <u>c</u> / 3.45 <u>e</u> / 7.50	472.50 23.62 120.42 4.30 2.31 14.49 1.75 7.50 4.72
Net Returns Over Direct	Expenses	S		-17.79
Facility and Equipment Net Returns Over All Expenses	head	1	37.31 <u>f</u> /	37.31 -55.10

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>a</u>/Steers were actually sold on a yield and grade basis, with price converted to liveweight basis.

 $<sup>\</sup>underline{b}$ /Reflects 15% interest charged for 4 months of use.

C/Reflects a 15% interest rate on the feed cost. The interest was charged at the beginning of each feeding period on accumulated feed and interest charges.

d/Reflects data from [1]. The \$3.35 includes minimum wage, social security, etc.

 $<sup>\</sup>underline{e}/\text{Assumes worming ($1.00)}$  and implant (\$.75) at time steers are placed in the feedlot.

f/Approximated from an unpublished report by Laughlin, Ag. Economics Dept., MSU, 1981. Assuming two turns/yr (1000 head). See Appendix B, Table 3.



## Appendix C: Cost of Feedlots



Appendix C, Table 1. Construction and ownership costs of a dirt feedlot with concrete slab feeding area, 500 head capacity, 1980

ıtem	Amount	Expected life	Kepaır cost	Avg. an. repair cost	11% amortized fixed cost
	64	years	% new cost	ι.	<i>  8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8</i>
Facility: (1) Lot fencing (1376/in. ft. @ \$1.85)	2,551.00	20	50	63.78	320.34
Concrete slab reeding apron (206 x 20' x two 6 \$1.64/sq. ft.)	13,536.00	20	;	;	1,699.79
(3) Pole-type sned W/metal roof (206' x 34' @ \$1.45/sq. ft.)	10,191.00	20	25	127.38	1,279.72
Cleaning Equipment: (1) Tractor (2) Scraper blade (3) Frontend loader (4) Manure Spreader	9,974.00 792.00 2,347.00 4,928.00	0000	75 40 40 40	748.05 31.68 93.88 197.12	1,693.60 134.48 398.52 836.78
(1) Fenceline feed bunks 384 linear ft. @ 18.81/1. ft. 2/post & cable-installed (2) Mixer truckBJM 900 mixer (320 cu. ft.) with load calls mounted on 1980 Ford F700 truck with heavy	7,223.00	50	90	180.58	907.03
	24,641.00 14,081.00 4,342.00	10 20 10	50 25 50	1,232.05 1,760.01 217.10	4,184.08 1,768.23 737.28
	10,744.00 2,004.00	10	25 25	268.60 50.10	1,824.35 340.28
Working Barn: (1) Metal roof structure (38' x 38') @ 4.08 sq. ft. (2) Pen fencing (210 linear ft.) @ 7.04/1. ft. (3) Working chute (manual) (4) Storage	5,896.00 1,478.00 1,056.00 1,173.00	20 20 10 20	25 25 25 25	73.70 18.48 26.40 14.66	740.39 185.60 179.31 147.30
Water: (1) Water well (4" well, 500 ft. deep)	4,694.00	10	50	234.70	797.05
Total	97,924.00	1	1	5,338.27	18,174.13
Amount/head <u>a</u> /					23.51

a/ Assumes two turns/year (1,000 head).

Mention of a trademark or proprietary product does not constitute a guarantee or warranty of the product by the Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station and does not imply its approval to the exclusion of other products that also may be suitable.

Mississippi State University does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, religion, national orgin, sex, age, or handicap.

In conformity with Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Joyce B. Giglioni, Assistant to the President, 610 Allen Hall, P. O. Drawer J, Mississippi State, Mississippi 39762, office telephone number 325-3221, has been designated as the responsible employee to coordinate efforts to carry out responsibilities and make investigation of complaints relating to discrimination.