Mississippi State University Scholars Junction

Bulletins

Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station (MAFES)

2-1-1981

Soybean response to tillage of Sharkey clay soil

Larry G. Heatherly

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarsjunction.msstate.edu/mafes-bulletins

Recommended Citation

Heatherly, Larry G., "Soybean response to tillage of Sharkey clay soil" (1981). *Bulletins*. 765. https://scholarsjunction.msstate.edu/mafes-bulletins/765

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station (MAFES) at Scholars Junction. It has been accepted for inclusion in Bulletins by an authorized administrator of Scholars Junction. For more information, please contact scholcomm@msstate.libanswers.com.

Bulletin 892 February 1981

Soybean Response to Tillage of Sharkey Clay Soil

Larry G. Heatherly, research agronomist, Delta States Area, AR, SEA, USDA

MITCHELL MEMORIAL LIBRARY MAY ~ (150) Mississippi State, University

> AR, SEA, USDA in cooperation with

MAFES MISSISSIPPI AGRICULTURAL & FORESTRY EXPERIMENT STATION R. RODNEY FOIL, DIRECTOR MISSISSIPPI STATE, MS 39762

Mississippi State University

James D. McComas, President

DINELAV



ht Louis N. Wise, Vice President

Summary

Mack, Tracy and Bragg Soybeanswere grown on a Sharkey clay in 40-, 30- and 20-inch rows for three years on plots subsoiled 18-20 inches deep with a curved-shank subsoiler, deep-chisel plowed 12

inches deep or shallow-chisel plowed 6 inches deep. A disk only treatment was compared with the other tillage methods in the third year. Data from the trials indicate that shallow tillage results in yields equal to those resulting from deep tillage and is, therefore preferable to deep tillage because of lower costs and reduced energy requirements.

Soybean Response to Tillage of Sharkey, Clay Soil

Larry G. Heatherly, Research Agronomist, AR,SEA,USDA •

Soybean Response to Tillage of Sharkey Clay Soil

Clay soils (Alligator, Dowling, Sharkey and unclassified) account or more than one half of the land rea of the Yazoo-Mississippi Delta 7). Almost 10% of the soils are in he Sharkey series (montnorillonitic, Vertic Haplaquept), and 7.62% of the soils of the Delta of Mississippi are Sharkey clay. All lay soils are well suited for sovbean production because of their high fertility and topographic posiion.

Sharkey clay has slow internal lrainage and high water-holding apacity. Also, montmorillonitic lays predominate in the clay raction and give the soil a high legree of shrink-swell potential upon drying and wetting (1). Soils hat shrink and swell develop racks or continuous pores of low oot impedance or low soil strength (5,8), and roots growing in and through these natural cracks display a flattened appearance (13).

Deep tillage usually has increased crop yields only when water intake(and/or root penetration)has been limited by restrictive layers in the soil profile (4, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12). Soil strength usually is the factor that restricts root penetration through the pan formations (3, 8, 9, 10). Deep tillage reduces soil strength and root impedance in these zones. Time of tillage can affect yield response to the disruption of these soils (12) but may not increase yields if periods of drought stress are avoided by irrigation or adequate and timely rainfall. because plants are less dependent on moisture below the impervious laver (6.10).

Response to profile modification

of soils with a high percentage of montmorillonitic clay has been varied. Cotton root penetration into the subsoil was altered only slightly by degree of compaction of a Mhoon clay loam (5). Severe mechanical disruption of the profile to 24 and 48 inches improved aeration and increased yields of cotton and grain sorghum a deep, slowly permeable on Houston black clay (2), but the tillage was so severe that many years of residual effect would be required for the procedure to return more than it costs.

This study was conducted to determine the effect of various types and depths of tillage and various row spacings on the yield of Mack, Tracy and Bragg soybeans grown on Sharkey clay soil.

Procedure

The three-year study was conlucted on a Sharkey clay soil at the MAFES Delta Branch. Bulk densiy of the soil at all depths was well below the 1.6 g/cm³ (Table 1) reported by Zimmerman and Kardos (13) as the value at which soybean root penetration was severely restricted in a shrink-swell soil. All nutrient levels were high or very high at the beginning and at the end of the study.

				Sharkey clay tillage on t			-			sippi.
Soil			ctions ¹	Organic	Bulk				t analys	
depth	Sand	Silt	Clay	matter	density	pН	Р	K	Mg	Са
Inches			%		g/cm ³			1	b/acre	
0.12	4	33	63	1.01	1.21	6.9	90	870	3170	13160
12.24	6	44	50	1.07	1.35	7.3	100	680	2645	11900
24-36	6	30	64	1.79	1.33	7.6	90	635	3570	13650
36-48	6	28	бб	1.64	1.32	7.3	110	700	3925	13280
¹ Sand =	> 0.05	mm di	ameter;	silt = 0.002	2-0.05 mm	diameter;	clay =	< 0.00	2 mm dia	meter.

1976 Trials

Plots were tilled on March 1 by (1) subsoiling 18-20 inches deep with a curved-shank subsoiler ("S") on 40inch centers perpendicular to row direction, (2) by deep-chisel plowing ("DC") 12 inches deep on 20inch centers perpendicular to row direction or (3) by shallow-chisel plowing ("SC") 6 inches deep on 20inch centers perpendicular to row direction. Trifluralin was applied and disk incorporated on March 3.

The experiment was designed as a complete block with three replicates of treatments in a splitsplit plot arrangement. Tillage treatments were randomized within replicates, row spacings were randomized within tillage treatments, and varieties were randomized within row spacings.

1977 Trials

Tillage was delayed until May 10 because of wet soil. The test site used in 1976 (designated 1977A) and another site with a history of shallow tillage only (1977B) were prepared by the methods used in 1976. Trifluralin was applied and

1978 Trials

tillage treatments used in the previous two years, and only 40- twice, and the 20-inch rows were

Rainfall from time of planting through October 1 measured 13.8, 10.0 and 15.2 inches in 1976, 1977 and 1978, respectively (Table 2). Eight of the 15.2 inches in 1978 were in the first two weeks after planting.

Depth of tillage did not alter soybean rooting depth significantly as determined by moisture conAll tillage treatments were separated by 15-ft wide alleys.

Plots were planted May 21 with Mack, Tracy and Bragg soybeans at rates of 12 seed/row ft on 40-inch wide rows, nine seed/row ft on 30inch wide rows and six seed/row ft on 20-inch wide rows. All rows were 30 ft long.

All plots were treated preemergence with a tank-mix of alachlor and linuron. The 40-inch wide rows were cultivated twice, and the 30- and 20-inch wide rows were hoed once by hand.

Moisture in the soil of each plot was measured throughout the growing season by neutron attenuation. All measurements were on dates preceded by seven or more days with no rainfall. One access tube was located in one dr row of each plot.

Plant height measurements ar lodging scores were recorded f each plot, and all plants on 2.5 ft each end of each row were remov before harvesting Mack on Octob 4 and Tracy and Bragg (November 3. A combine modififor use on small plots was used. harvest two 40-inch wide row three 30-inch wide rows and fo: 20-inch wide rows from : replicates of each row spacing, ar. weights of harvested seed we converted to bu/acre at 1:1 moisture. Also, weights of two 100 seed samples per plot were record ed.

disk incorporated on April 13.

The experimental design of 1977A was the same as in 1976. The 1977B trial was replicated two times, and only the 40- and 20-inch row spacings were used.

All plots were planted on June 21,

and the 40-inch wide rows wer cultivated once. Mack wi harvested on October 18, Trac and Bragg on November 15.

All other procedures were 1 same as in 1976.

The original test site was tilled and 20-inch row spacings were on April 3. A disk-only ("D") used. The varieties and experimentreatment was added to the three tal design were the same as in 1976. The 40-inch rows were cultivated

hoed once by hand. Mack wa harvested on October 11, Tracy ac Bragg on October 30. All other procedures were the same as 1 1976.

Results and Discussion

tent of the soil. Moisture content of the soil at each sample depth in 1976 was slightly higher in plots tilled with the curved-shank subsoiler than in plots tilled by the other methods (Table 3). The same relationship was found in 1977, but to a lesser extent, and was absent in 1978. Water was extracted from all measured depths of each tillage treatment in all years.

Yield differences among the three tillage treatments were 1 significant in any year, a differences in yields among the three row spacings were sign 1 cant only in 1977, the year whe planting was delayed until June 2 The inconsister (Table 4). differences in yield amos Table 2. Average temperature, rainfall and pan evaporation in 1976, 1977 and 1978, by time periods, Stoneville, Mississippi.

	1976				19	77		1978				
Time period	Average max	temp min	Rain- fall	Pan evap	Average max	temp min	Rain- fall	Pan evap	Average max	temp min	Rain- fall	Pan evap
	°F		in		°F		- - in		°F		in	
May 1-15	75	54	1.77	3.09	84	62	0.43	4.33	75	57	9.84	3.34
May 16-31	78	58	1.50	3.39	91	66	0.63	5.33	87	66	2.05	3.78
June 1-15	86	66	0.83	4.09	93	68	1.10	5.09	85	66	6.77	3.45
June 16-30	86	68	5.16	3.29	92	74	1.34	4.57	94	74	0.20	4.49
July 1-15	88	69	3.15	3.58	94	73	2.91	4.53	96	75	0.75	4.70
July 16-31	93	71	0.47	4.34	91	72	0.79	3.29	93	72	0.04	4.84
Aug. 1-15	91	67	0.04	4.13	91	71	0.12	3.96	90	70	3.07	3.71
Aug. 16-31	92	67	0.24	3.94	91	71	0.75	3.69	92	70	1.54	4.08
Sept. 1-15	84	63	1.77	2.75	90	69	2.09	3.46	88	68	1.46	2.41
Sept. 16-3	0 84	61	1.06	2.53	86	66	2.80	2.63	85	65	0.55	2.52
Oct. 1-15	78	51	0.83	2.21	74	50	2.32	2.61	78	49	0.67	2.66
Oct. 16-31	63	42	2.99	1.56	76	49	0.59	2.14	77	46	0.24	2.61
000. 10-31	03	42	2.99	1.30	/0	47	0.39	2.14	//	40	0.24	2.01

Table 3. Volumetric soil water content of the Sharkey clay soil used in a study of the effects of various types and depths of tillage on the yield of soybeans, by sample depth, year and tillage method, Stoneville, Mississippi, 1976-1978.

Soil	1976					1978				
Depth	s ¹	DC ²	sc ³	s ¹	DC ²	sc ³	s ¹	DC ²	D ⁴	sc ³
					%/vol					
(in.)	Day	54 ⁵ - Ju	1y 14	Day	27 - Ju	ly 18	Da	y 34 -	June	27
12	51.6	47.7	46.6	51.8	52.1	50.4	54.0	52.8	52.3	51.6
24	54.6	52.6	51.7	53.1	52.5	50.8	51.8	52.7	52.1	51.2
36	53.8	52.1	52.6	51.8	51.8	51.2	51.8	51.8	52.0	51.1
48	55.9	54.3	54.5	53.2	53.5	52.5	52.2	52.5	53.0	52.0
	Day	74 - Aug	ust 3	Day	49 - Au	gust 9	Da	y 65 -	July	28
12	39.7	38.1	36.5	43.7	42.9	40.9	40.8	39.0	40.1	42.4
24	43.4	42.4	39.7	45.0	43.9	42.1	41.7	41.8	41.0	41.6
36	52.2	51.9	51.2	51.4	51.9	51.0	45.4	46.7	45.8	
48	54.3	54.2	53.9	53.4	53.4	53.0	51.8	52.1	51.9	51.5
	Day	98 - Aug	ust 27	Day	Da	<u>Day 105 - Sept. 6</u>				
12	34.0	30.1	29.1	40.8	39.9	36.6	40.4	39.2	41.4	42.2
24	39.5	37.1	35.6	41.7	40.3	37.8	42.1	39.8	41.5	41.5
36	42.2	41.8	39.9	47.8	47.2	46.6	44.1	42.7	43.3	43.2
48	51.7	52.1	47.2	52.4	52.8	52.0	48.5	49.1	48.0	49.6
	Day	117 <mark>-</mark> Se	pt. 15	Day	78 – Sej	ot. 7	Day	121 -	Sept.	22
12	42.5	37.1	34.2	37.2	36.6	32.0	41.6	41.2	42.9	43.3
24	43.3	39.1	36.2	39.3	38.0	34.2	41.4	39.7	41.2	41.5
36	44.0	41.5	40.7	41.2	40.5	39.7	42.2	41.9	42.7	43.0
48	48.9	49.6	46.2	46.9	46.5	45.9	48.2		47.4	48.9

1 S = Subsoiled 18 to 20 inches deep with a curved-shank subsoiler on 40-inch centers
perpendicular to row direction
2 DC = Deep-chisel plowed 12 inches deep on 20-inch centers perpendicular to row direction

 2 DC = Deep-chisel plowed 12 inches deep on 20-inch centers perpendicular to row direction 3 SC = Shallow-chisel plowed 6 inches deep on 20-inch centers perpendicular to row direction 4 D = Disk only

⁵Day number = days after planting

varieties among years was attributed to timeliness of rainfall relative to the reproductive stages of the three varieties. Late planting and the low rainfall during the growing season appeared to be the major cause of the lower yields in 1977.

The only significant difference in seed weight among tillage treatments was in 1978 when the plots tilled by shallow-chisel plowing produced seed of lower weight. Some differences in seed weight among row spacings were significant, but the differences in seed weight did not appear to be related to the yield differences among row spacings.

Plant height did not differ significantly (P < .05) among tillage methods in 1976, 1977A and 1978 (Tables 5, 6 and 8). Narrowing the rows tended to cause shorter and 8). Plant height in 1977B was affected (P < .05) by the interaction of tillage methods and row

spacings (Table 7).

Height of Tracy and Bragg plants in 1976 was less (P < .05) on the 20-inch rows than on the 30and 40-inch rows (Table 5). Differences in plant height in each trial followed the significant trend to taller plants with increased length of the growing season.

Lodging ratings are reported plants in 1977A and 1978 (Tables 6 only for 1976 and 1977A (Table 9) when enough lodging occurred to make harvesting difficult.

Seed yield and weight of 100 seed of soybeans grown on a Sharkey clay soil, by Table 4. tillage method, row spacing, variety and year, Stoneville, Mississippi.

		Grain y					100 seed	
Item	1976	1977A ⁵	1977B ⁶	1978	1976	1977A ⁵	1977B ⁶	1978
		bu/acre					·g	
Tillage								
sl	28.0 a ⁷	22.8 a	22.5 a	28.2 a	14.1 a	14.9 a	14.7 a	14.4
DC ²	28.5 a	22.9 a	22.3 a	28.5 a	14.2 a	14.8 a	14.9 a	14.2
sc ³	27.8 a	23.0 a	21.7 a	28.2 a	14.2 a	14.8 a	14.7 a	13.7
D ⁴				30.7 a				14.2
Row Spaci	ng							
40 in.	28.2 a	21.8 b	21.2 b	29.2 a	14.0 c	14.8 a	15.0 a	14.3
30 in.	27.7 a	22.5 b			14.2 b	15.0 a		
20 in.	29.0 a	24.4 a	23.1 a	28.6 a	14.6 a	14.7 a	14.5 b	14.0
Variety								
Mack	24.2 b	23.5 a	22.3 ab	29.0 a	11.6 c	13.3 c	13.3 c	14.0
Tracy	30.1 a	21.8 b	20.6 b	28.l a	15.8 a	16.8 a	16.8 a	14.7
Bragg	30.6 a	23.3 a	23.6 a	29.5-a	15.3 b	14.4 b	14.2 b	13.7

1,2,3,4 See Footnotes, Table 3.

Same test area and experimental design as in 1976.

⁶Test conducted on a similar soil with a history of shallow tillage only.

Values within each column for each item followed by the same letter did not differ (P < .05) according to Waller-Duncan k-ratio t-test (k-ratio = 100).

			_		
on a Sharkey	clay soil by	ght of soybeans of tillage method, eville, Mississip	row	grown on a Sharkey method, row spacing	nt height of soybeans clay soil, by tillage and variety, Stone-
Item	Variety	Plant Height	Ŀ	ville, Mississippi,	1977A.'
Tillage		inches		Item	Plant Height
s ¹		34.7a ⁴		Tillage	inches
DC ²				$\frac{1}{s^2}$	35.5a ⁵
		35.3a		DC ³	37.0a
sc ³		34.6a		sc ⁴	35.3a
Row Spacing		5		Row Spacing	
40 inches	Mack	32.0a ⁵		40 inch	37.la
	Tracy	34.8c		30 inch	35.3a
	Bragg	40.8a		20 inch	35.4a
30 inches	Mack	31.5d		···	
	Tracy	34.2c		<u>Variety</u> Mack	34.3b
	Bragg	40.la		Tracy	34.3D 35.0b
20 inches	Mack	32.4d		Bragg	38.5a
	Tracy	32.8d			
	Bragg	38.4b		¹ Same test site as	used in 1976.
1,2,3 See foot	notes, Table	3.		^{2,3,4} See footnotes,	Table 3.
⁴ Main effect	means and 5 in	nteraction means ter did not diffe	er	⁵ Means within each the same letter di (P < .05).	item followed by d not differ
grown on a Sh	arkey clay s pacing and v	ght of soybeans oil, by tillage ariety, Stone-	on a	Sharkey clay soil, by ng and variety, Stone	
Ite	m	Plant Height		Item	Plant Height
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	inches		Tillage	inches
Tillage	Row spacing			s ²	29.4a ⁶
s ²	40 inches	28.3abc ⁵		DC ³	29.4a
-	20 inches	30.3a		sc ⁵	29.4a
DC ³	40 inches	30.6a		D ⁴	30.la
	20 inches	27.8bc			
sc ⁴	40 inches	29.lab		Row Spacing 40 inch	30.8a
	20 inches	26.6c		20 inch	27.9b
Variety			1.00		27.50
Mack		25.2c ⁶		Variety	
Tracy		28.3b		Mack	20.7c
Bragg		32.9a		Tracy	32.0b
Trial conduc	ted on a Shar	rkey clay soil w tillage only.		Bragg	35.3a
^{2,3,4} See foot	notes. Table	3.	Same	e test site as used in	1976 and 1977A.
^o Interaction followed by	means and ⁶ ma the same let	ain effect means	6 _{Mear}	^{1,5} See footnotes, Tabl ns within each item fo er did not differ (P	ollowed by the same
differ (P <	.05).		2000		

Tillage	Row spacing	Lodging 1976	score ¹ 1977A	Tillage	Variety	Lodging 1976		Row spacing	Variety	<u>Lodging</u> 1976	score
	(in.)	1-	5			1-5	5	(in.)		1-	5
s ²	20	3.4 a ⁵	3.2 abc	s ²	Mack	2.4 b	1.7 c	40	Mack	2.5 cd	2.8
	30	2.1 c	2.8 cd		Tracy	2.5 b	3.3 a		Tracy	3.9 a	3.8
	20	2.3 c	2.7 cd		Bragg	2.9 a	3.7 a		Bragg	4.0 a	3.8
DC ³	40	3.2 a	3.6 a	DC ³	Mack	2.2 b	2.6 b	30	Mack	2.1 d	1.8
	30	3.0 a	2.9 bc		Tracy	3.1 a	3.6 a		Tracy	3.1 b	3.3
	20	2.4 bc	3.3 ab		Bragg	3.2 a	3.7 a	•	Bragg	3.2 b	3.4
sc ⁴	40	3.4 a	3.6 a	sc ⁴	Mack	2.2 b	1.9 c	20	Mack	2.4 cd	1.7
	30	2.9 ab	2.8 cd		Tracy	3.2 a	3.3 a		Tracy	2.7 c	3.0
	20	2.4 bc	2.4 d		Bragg	3.2 a	3.5 a		Bragg	3.2 b	3.7

 5 Means in each column followed by the same letter did not differ (P < .05).

Literature Cited

- 1. Bruce, R. R., W. A. Raney, W. M. Broadfoot and H. B. Vanderford. 1958. Physical, chemical and mineralogical characteristics of important Mississippi soils. MAFES Tech. Bull. 45.
- 2. Burnett, Earl, and J. L. Tackett. 1968. Effect of soil profile modification on plant root development. Trans. 9th Intl. Congr. Soil Sci. 3:329-337.
- Fiskell, J. G. A., V. W. Carlisle, R. Kashirad and C. E. Hutton. 1968. Effect of soil strength on root penetration in coarse textured soils. Trans. 9th Intl. Congr. Soil Sci. 1:793-802.
- 4. Martin, C. K., D. K. Cassel and E. J. Kamprath. 1979. Irrigation and tillage effect on soybean yield in a Coastal Plain soil. Agron. J. 71:592-594.
- 5. Meredith, H. L. and W. H. Patrick, Jr. 1961. Effects of soil

compaction on subsoil root penetration and physical properties of three soils in Louisiana. Agron. J. 53:163-167.

- 6. Parker, M. B., N. A. Minton, O. L. Brooks and C. E. Perry. 1976. Soybean response to subsoiling and a nematicide. Georgia Agric. Exp. Stn. Res. Bull. 181.
- 7. Pettiet, Joe V. 1974. An interpretive evaluation of soils in the Yazoo-Mississippi Delta area for crop production. MAFES Bull. 808.
- 8. Smittle, D. A. and E. D. Threadgill. 1977. Effect of tillage methods on soil strength, yield and nutrient uptake of sweet corn. Georgia Agric. Exp. Stn. Res. Rep. 266.
- 9. Soileau, J. M. and O. P. Engelstad. 1969. Cotton growth in an acid fragipan subsoil: I. Effects of physical

soil properties, liming and fertilization on root penetra tion. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc 33:915-919.

- 10. Taylor, H. M. and R. R. Bruce 1968. Effects of soil strengthor root growth and crop yield in the southern United States Trans. 9th Intl. Congr. Soil Sci 1:803-811.
- 11. Taylor, H. M. and Earl Burnet 1964. Influence of soil strengt on the root growth habit of plants. Soil Sci. 98:174-180.
- 12. Tupper, Gordon R. 1978. Soybean response to deep tillag? method and date on a silty clay soil. MAFES Res. Rep. 4, No. 4.
- 13. Zimmerman, R. P. and L. 1. Kardos. 1961. Effect of bul density on root growth. So.! Sci. 91:280-288.